



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Benjamin MANDLER

Application No.: 09/929,260

Conf. No. 9743

Filed: August 15, 2001

For: USE OF SPECIAL DIRECTORIES FOR ENCODING SEMANTIC INFORMATION...

Art Unit: 2165

Examiner: Samuel G. Rimell

Washington, D.C.

Any.'s Docket: MANDLER=1

Date: December 5, 2005

AFTER FINAL

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
2011 South Clark Place, Mail Stop AE  
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1803  
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a ☐ Amendment ☒ REPLY REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION: SUBMISSION OF DECLARATIONS UNDER 37 CFR 1.132  
in the above-identified application.

☐ Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

☐ No additional fee is required.

☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	*	MINUS	-- 20	0
INDEP.	*	MINUS	--- 3	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 25	\$
x 100	\$
+ 180	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	
\$	

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 50	\$
x 200	\$
+ 360	\$
TOTAL	
\$	

OR

OR

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

☒ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

☒ It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity  
Response Filed Within  
☐ First - \$ 60.00  
☐ Second - \$ 225.00  
☐ Third - \$ 510.00  
☐ Fourth - \$ 795.00  
Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity  
Response Filed Within  
☒ First - \$ 120.00  
☐ Second - \$ 450.00  
☐ Third - \$ 1020.00  
☐ Fourth - \$ 1590.00  
Month After Time Period Set

☐ Less fees (\$ ) already paid for month(s) extension of time on

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$ .

☒ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$120.00.

☐ A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no. ).

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

12/07/2005 HALI11 00000037 09929260

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528  
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

01 FC:1251

120.00 OP

By: N-RTT  
Norman J. Laiter  
Registration No. 19,963



IF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: MANDLER=1

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 2165
	)	
Benjamin MANDLER	)	Examiner: S. G. RIMELL
	)	
Appln. No.: 09/929,260	)	Washington, D.C.
	)	
Date Filed: August 15, 2001	)	Confirmation No.: 9743
	)	
For: USE OF SPECIAL DIRECTORIES	)	December 5, 2005
FOR ENCODING SEMANTIC	)	
INFORMATION IN A FILE SYSTEM	)	<u>AFTER FINAL</u>

**REPLY: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION;  
SUBMISSION OF DECLARATIONS UNDER 37 CFR 1.132**

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building, Mail Stop AF  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In response to an Official Action dated August 8, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits the following remarks.

This application contains claims 67-69, 76-81 and 88-90. Claims 1-66 were withdrawn without prejudice in response to a restriction requirement. The pending claims were rejected or objected to in the present Official Action. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 67, 68, 76-80 and 88-90 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) over Mandler et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2001/0049675). Specifically, the Examiner held